

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	F	ILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/896,533		06/29/2001	Tom Baehr-Jones	0007975-0012	8414
30076	7590	03/27/2006		EXAM	INER
BROWN R	AYSMA	N MILLSTEIN FI	STEVENS, THOMAS H		
1880 CENT	URY PAR	KK EAST			
12TH FLOOR				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
LOS ANGELES CA 90067				2123	

DATE MAILED: 03/27/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
•	09/896,533	BAEHR-JONES ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Thomas H. Stevens	2123				
The MAILING DATE of this communication ap	pears on the cover sheet wit	th the correspondence address				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING D. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1. after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statut Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailin earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	DATE OF THIS COMMUNIC .136(a). In no event, however, may a re I will apply and will expire SIX (6) MON te, cause the application to become AB	CATION. sply be timely filed THS from the mailing date of this communication. ANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
1)⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>06 L</u> 2a)□ This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ Thi 3)□ Since this application is in condition for allowed closed in accordance with the practice under	is action is non-final. ance except for formal matte					
Disposition of Claims						
4) □ Claim(s) 1-24 is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra 5) □ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) □ Claim(s) 1-24 is/are rejected. 7) □ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) □ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/	awn from consideration.					
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.						
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ac						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreig a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documer 2. Certified copies of the priority documer 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority application from the International Burea * See the attached detailed Office action for a list	nts have been received. nts have been received in A ority documents have been au (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	pplication No received in this National Stage				
Attachmont(s)						
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview S	Summary (PTO-413)				
Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08 Paper No(s)/Mail Date	Paper No(s	s)/Mail Date formal Patent Application (PTO-152)				

Application/Control Number: 09/896,533

Art Unit: 2123

•:

DETAILED ACTION

1. Claims 1-24 have been examined.

Section I: Continued Examination

2. A request for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, including the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e), was filed in this application after final rejection. Since this application is eligible for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, and the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e) has been timely paid, the finality of the previous Office action has been withdrawn pursuant to 37 CFR 1.114. Applicant's submission filed on 12/07/2005 has been entered.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 101

3. 35 U.S.C. 101 reads as follows:

Whoever invents or discovers any new and useful process, machine, manufacture, or composition of matter, or any new and useful improvement thereof, may obtain a patent therefor, subject to the conditions and requirements of this title.

Claims 1-24 rejected under 35 U.S.C. 101 because they're directed by an abstract idea rather than a practical application of that idea; nor they appear to produce a tangible result nor a specific, substantial and credible disclosed utility so as to be, in and of itself useful.

For claims 1 and 9, there's no mention of what the intended use is nor how this distributed computing is significant, thus lacking transition and usefulness, while non-credible since the end result is silent. Claim 17 appears to reflect software only since a computer useable medium is unclear. Conversely, the specification discloses a CPU, i.e., a computer readable medium. If these sets of claims were to reflect such a

Application/Control Number: 09/896,533

Art Unit: 2123

limitation, coupled to the same concerns as stated for the remaining claims, it should render this set of claims statutory.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

- 4. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:
 The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.
- 5. Claims 1-24 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention. The claims appear inaccurate or incomplete since by which the relationship between the binary tree and the Cartesian grid limitations is non-binding with little significance.

Citation to Relevant Prior Art

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure:

- Monien-B., "Simulation Binary Trees on X-Trees" ACM 1991. pg. 147-158, teaches an arbitrary binary tree with dilation 11 and optimal expansion into an X-tree.
- Parashar et al., "A Common Data Management Infrastructure for Adaptive Algorithms for PDE
 Solutions" ACM 1997, pg.1-22: teaches the design, development and application of a computational
 infrastructure to support the implementation of parallel adaptive algorithms of the solution of set of
 partial differential equations.

Application/Control Number: 09/896,533

Art Unit: 2123

Correspondence Information

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Mr. Tom Stevens whose telephone number is 571-272-3715, Monday-Friday (8:00 am- 4:30 pm EST).

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, please contact examiner's supervisor Mr. Paul Rodriguez 571-272-3753. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov.. Answers to questions regarding access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) (toll-free (866-217-9197)).

March 17, 2006

Primary Examiner
Art Unit 2125213

TS